

The Hon Greg Hunt MP

Minister for Health

I refer to your letter March 1st 2017.

1. Research Without Consent is Forced Human Experimentation (such as people are subjected to under Government Legislation) cannot be called 'care'. That's double-speak, especially when it constitutes Torture & Servitude Offenses under our Crimes Act, and International Law.
2. It is the responsibility of the Minister for Health to make sure that States/ Territories are put under pressure to cease Forced Human Experimentation in the guise of 'Health Care'. Under your watch ALL state and territories have callous disregard for human life, using laws that discriminate on the basis of perceived disability, and a fictitious idea of 'medical treatment' to profit from this disgusting human rights abuse. You should not remain silent while these rogue States/Territories commit Torture, Assault, Servitude (offenses under the Australian Criminal Code) and frustrate Australia's commitment to UN Conventions. You are complicit in this, if you do nothing, say nothing, as an overseer of the Health Department.
3. 'More effective & efficient MHS' (as you state), does not improve outcomes for people who are being enslaved for Human Experimentation, it only improves profits for whitecoats and other perpetrating vested interests.
4. Equality Before Law for ALL Australians is essential. Why are you doing nothing to stop discriminatory Mental Health Laws that persecute people on the basis of perceived disability? You ratified the UN CRPD, banning these perpetrations 9 years ago.
5. The Attorney General, has the obligation to enable the repeal State/ Territory MHAs. You could work with Hon George Brandis QC for assistance, to examine any/all Federal Acts to outlaw these UN CRPD violations, that are cruel, inhuman and degrading torture. This should also involve any Federal human rights acts where Federal/ State-Territory Law conflicts. I would appreciate your advice on a path you would take to achieve this, in order that you live up to your ratified Covenant Obligations. (I have already sent the Attorney General my petition for abolishing psychiatric abuse and apologising for it ever having occurred.)
6. Access to 'appropriate care' (as you state) should never mean Forced Treatment, especially not the Forced Human Experimentation those diagnosed with perceived disability have suffered as a marginalised, disenfranchised, enslaved group of people for the last 209 years (all for the profit of psychiatry and coterie social services that do nothing to abolish this abominable practice.)
7. People should not be 'restricted' (as you state) unless they have committed a crime. To discriminate against a person who is perceived to have a disability is not something that should be happening in a democracy: there needs to be Equality before the Law. Laws limiting crime need to be very clear, so that people know how-to behave.
 - a. 'Cognitive, emotional or social abilities' (Definition of how 'mental-illness' is judged, in *Mental Health Statement of Rights & Responsibility 2012*) should not be a means to restrict a person, under law, as is achieved by the discriminatory group of people restricted by Mental Health Acts.
 - b. Mental Health Acts are nebulous in their criteria, often discriminating against victims-of-crime (who are marginalised/ financially & socially disenfranchised) enabling Church-based paedophilia cover-ups, and other organised crime to occur, by victim-blaming ideology: labelling the victim with a shameful label and subjecting

them to torture and servitude, silencing them so the high-profile criminal can get away with their crimes.

- c. Attempts to report a crime while in an overwhelmed/ upset state, even if that crime happened many years ago, should not be subjected to 'restrictions', but is under MHAs.
- d. 'A mood' should not be something that gets punished under our laws, or subjected to 'restrictions', but is under MHAs.
- e. 'A vision/ voice' a person attempts to have a conversation about is not something that should be subjected to 'restrictions', but is under MHAs.
- f. To predict behaviour is charlatanism. Laws, particularly those that 'restrict' a person, need to deal with facts and evidence, not nebulous judging of human traits, and attempts to guess that a person might become suicidal or homicidal (such as MHAs do) even though usually the person being sectioned has neither expressed this, nor done either of these things, but have been judged to be cognitively or socially disabled by a psychiatrist. This vagueness in Legislation lends itself to some particularly nasty violent discrimination against the diversity of human expression and belief. People must have a means with which to learn what laws they cannot trespass. How can citizens do this when MHAs are nebulous?
- g. The restrictions used by psychiatrists under MHAs constitute torture and no one deserves that, especially not innocent citizens, with perceived disability.
- h. Psychiatry profits through Mental Health Acts, increasing the per cent of the population they 'restrict' way beyond population growth, so that psychiatrists can use these people for Human Experimentation. And what a lucrative slave-trade it is for the whitecoats and what an offense it is, to restrict our citizens in this manner, torture them, subject them to these cruelties under the guise of 'care' and nebulous 'risk' assessments.

MHAs, that DISCRIMINATE against persons with perceived/ diagnosed/ actual disabilities should not exist at all, because of their destructive impact, and violation against UN CRPD.

Perpetrators of Human Rights violations must be stopped immediately for the human carnage they cause in this horrific \$60 billion pa regime of Forced Human Experimentation.

Some people who are in positions of responsibility are LAZY, GREEDY, human rights violators, that should never be given a position of power, where they can sit by and let the disgusting crimes of Forced Human Experimentation continue and expect to not be seen as human rights violators.

Do something! To not say or do anything, while you're in a position of power, is unforgivable.

Be that guy, don't salute the whitecoats.

In the words of Corrine Grant, 'all these organisations started hundreds of years ago and started as protectionist organisations to protect a particular class of people and make sure that that they were the only ones economically advantaged by that skill set and you still see that protectionism around. They police their own members so if you fall foul of the AMA the AMA judges your continued membership, so we need independent oversight of all professions' (ABC, The Drum).

Regards,

Initia.

Ms Initially No